High standards

In part one of this two-part exclusive interview, Neil Kohthari talks to Chief Dental Officer Dr Barry Cockcroft to find out how well he thinks the NHS system of dentistry is working and what standards it should be aiming for.

NK: Since Labour has come into power, funding for the NHS has almost doubled. In your opinion how well is NHS dentistry currently doing?

CDO: The way we describe it at the moment is that it’s turning the corner. It’s certainly been a very turbulent period over the last three years and it’s obviously a very high priority for government and ministers. What we know now is that the amount of NHS dentistry being commissioned, being purchased from dentists, is now far above the level it was in April 2006 and that’s continuing to rise. We increased spending by 11 per cent in 2008/9 and we will increase it overall by 8.5 per cent next year, which is a massive level of investment. According to Information Centre data the number of dentists providing treatments is up, and the NHS is already commissioning more dentistry than it was prior to the introduction of the new contractual arrangements in 2008, but the access data which has stubbornly so far gone in the wrong direction we are absolutely confident will begin to move in the right direction.

NK: When do you feel this will happen?

CDO: Very soon, we know it’s retrospective data, so the data we publish towards the end of February will indicate what happened in the two years ending last August. In our view, it’s already turned around, but isn’t reflected in the retrospective data yet.

(ISC data published in February showed access increased by 109,000 in the two year period ending August 2008.)

NK: But even if you take a simple procedure like a small compos- ite filling, there are numerous ways in which this can be provided. Surely in a budgeted sys- tem, the onus on the dentist is to provide this in the most cost-effec- tive way. This doesn’t always mean the best way, does it?

CDO: Part of quality is about messaging to patients. If you’re giving poor quality messages to patients, such as ‘we can not provide you with a scale and polish under the NHS if needed, but ‘we can provide you with this pri- vately’, then that’s wrong. The NHS is aiming to provide a qual- ity service and that should be the starting point for the NHS. It is not just “cost” but clinical ef- fectiveness as well. Access is starting to improve now and PCTs are very also need to be fo- cused on quality of care. Dentists need to work with their PCTs and we can see up and down the country dentists are working much better with their PCTs, but it’s a big cultural change and I ac- cept that.

NK: If NHS dentistry is aiming to provide more then a basic service, has the government fairly allocated funding for complex treatments?

CDO: We well think first of all the funding for individual con- tracts, i.e. the ring-fenced spending, so if dentists did treat- ment under the old system, they are funded for doing it now. The incidence of complex and rou- tine treatment is going down and we completely accept that. If it’s inappropriate then that’s fine, but remember the old sys- tem completely incentivised in- tervention. If you go from a sys- tem where the incentives are going in the opposite direction, you might see less treatment. But that’s the system we’re in, which we’ve seen. And we have seen that in PDS pilots since 1988. The research done on PDS pilots, which showed the reduction in intervention in both complex and routine treat- ments, had no negative impact on oral health. However, if the reductions in treatment in im- appropriate, this then becomes a quality issue which needs to be addressed.

NK: The recent HSC review into NHS dentistry highlighted a range of complaints by den- tists and patients has concluded that the contract is in fact so far failing to improve dental services measured by any of the criteria set by the Department, do you agree with this assessment?

CDO: Most of the evidence was given in March 2008 and most of the evidence was cre- ated much before that and as we all know, it takes time for sys- tem reform to start to show a benefit. Many of the things the HSC reported from the evi- dence they’d been given have
not actually come true. There is no shortage of vocational trainers, there is no evidence of a mass exodus of dentists. There is significant increase in the amount of preventative treatment going on. The amount of NHS dentistry commissioned has gone up, the number of dentists working in the NHS has gone up. One thing that has not turned round yet is the retrospective access data and if we are right, we expect that to turn around; then we will have evidence that everything we said would happen would have actually happened.

NK: In 2009, the three-year term for the current contract expires, what changes can dentists expect to the current system?

CDO: Current contracts do not expire. This is a complete misunderstanding about what will happen after April 2009. Nothing changes, other than the gross income guarantee. So everything else remains the same. GDS contracts are open-ended and can only be terminated if there is a breach of contract.

NK: So dentists can expect no changes to the current UDA system, not even an increase in the number of bands as advocated by the HSC?

CDO: No, nothing like that. We would need to consult on any of that, and in the statement of financial entitlement which we consulted on widely recently we made the point that contract values, if nothing happens, will for next year remain the same, just up-rated. The only thing that changes is the gross income guarantee. The PCT does not have the power to change a contract unilaterally. But if somebody had a contract value for £200,000 and for the last three years has only delivered £100,000 worth of contract, then the PCT now has the opportunity to say you have underperformed for three years and we propose that your contract value be reduced.

NK: Nationwide PCTs have provided a mixed service, have the PCT staff received adequate training with commissioning or is more needing to be done?

CDO: We completely accept that the quality of PCTs’ commissioning has been variable, as has the engagement of clinicians. What we’re now able to say is that 50 per cent of PCTs have already increased access since the new arrangements, but others have not, and that’s why we announced in the HSC that Mike Warburton, who helped implement the equitable access for GPs last year, is going to help the PCTs that are having the most difficulty. In our final response to the HSC, the strategic health authorities (SHAs) have said: ‘We will work with our Primary Care Trusts to make sure that all our PCTs’ commissioning plans enable us to deliver health dental services to anybody who seeks them by April 2011’, at the latest. I think this puts together a nice little package to help support our PCTs. But it’s been very difficult over the past two to three years getting clinical engagement. But things are clearly moving in the right direction now.

In part two to be published in a later issue, Neel Kothari talks to Barry Cockcroft about how the system has affected the balance between performers and providers.
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